Relations between TMDs and Chiral Odd GPDs from π^0 and η production Simonetta Liuti University of Virginia 2nd PQCD Workshop Jefferson Lab May 14th, 2012

With Gary Goldstein, Osvaldo Gonzalez Hernandez

Outline

- 1) Relations between TMDs and GPDs: unraveling new multiparton correlations
- 2) How reliably can GPDs be measured? Towards a global fit: <u>models, parameters, theoretical errors, resolution</u> (GGL, PRD 2011) Can we understand flavor decomposition of Dirac and Pauli form factors?
- 3) Exclusive π° electroproduction \rightarrow chiral odd sector (Ahmad et al. PRD 2009, Goldstein et al., hep-ph/1201.6088)



Extraction of GPDs from experimental data

→ <u>Define</u> "what type of information"

→ <u>Define</u> "the way to access it"



$$f_{\Lambda_{\gamma},\Lambda;\Lambda'_{\gamma},\Lambda'} = \epsilon^{\Lambda_{\gamma}}_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu}_{\Lambda\Lambda'} \epsilon^{*\Lambda'_{\gamma}}_{\nu},$$

5/13/12

Quark-Proton Helicity Amplitudes



$$g_{++}^{++} \pm g_{++}^{--} = \sqrt{X(X-\zeta)} \left(\frac{1}{X-\zeta+i\epsilon} \pm \frac{1}{X-i\epsilon} \right)$$



GPDs and TMDs with

- 1. same helicity/transversity structure
- 2. Same parton correlations in a suitably defined forward limit



GPDs and TMDs with

- 1. same helicity/transversity structure
- 2. Same parton correlations in a suitably defined forward limit



SSA Sector: GPDs and TMDs with same helicity/transversity structure

$$2\tilde{H}_{T} + E_{T} \leftrightarrow h_{1}^{\perp} \qquad \blacksquare \qquad \textcircled{p}$$
$$E \leftrightarrow f_{1T}^{\perp} \qquad \swarrow f_{T}^{\perp} \qquad \swarrow f_{T}^{\perp}$$

...but different multi-parton correlations in a suitably defined forward limit (aside from spin for a moment...)





Momentum Space: diagonal in x non diagonal in k_T

$$\begin{split} E(x,0,t) &= \int dz^{-} \exp^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \langle P' \mid \bar{\psi}(0,z^{-},0_{T}) \,\Gamma \,\psi(0,0,0_{T}) \mid P \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{X} \int dz^{-} \exp^{i(xP^{+}-P'^{+}+P_{X}^{+})z^{-}} \langle P' \mid \bar{\psi}_{+}(0) \mid X \rangle \langle X \mid \psi_{+}(0,0,0_{T}) \mid P \rangle = \\ &= \int d^{2}k_{T} \phi^{*}(x,\underline{k_{T}-\Delta}) \phi(x,\underline{k_{T}}) \end{split}$$

Coordinate Space: diagonal in b

$$E(x,0,t) = \int d^2 b \ e^{ib\cdot\Delta} \rho(x,b)$$
 $t=\Delta^2$

GPDs and non SSA TMDs are one particle density distributions!



Coordinate Space

But careful! Although the correlator factorizes into a GTMD and FSI, it describes multiparton correlations which are different from the TMDs

$$\langle k_T^i(x)
angle_{UT} \;=\; \int d^2 b_1 d^2 b_1' d^2 b_2 \;
ho_2[(x,b_1),(0,b_2);(x,b_1'),(0,b_2)]I(b_1-b_2)$$

semi-diagonal (in b) two-particle density distribution

Once we define observables in terms of multiparton density distributions/ correlations what do we do with this information?

Can we gauge what the extent of these multiparticle correlations effect is? (Why is this tour into multiparticle correlations useful?)

Intuitively, we interpret the average k_T as measuring:

"the probability of finding a quark correlated with the color field produced by the spectators at a position z⁻ away from that quark" M. Burkardt The multiparticle densities scenario provides a necessary theoretical/formal context/background.

- We understand what makes diquark and quark target models "simple" in this context
 they are <u>two component models</u>, therefore all of the "complexity" of multiparton interactions is glossed over, FSI is a simple multiplicative factor.
- More realistic diquark type models (with S and D wave spectators, see e.g. Goldstein and Liebl, PL1995; F.Gross and T. Peña, PRD 2011, or taking into account the internal momenta of the spectators, work in progress) could in principle give a very different answer
- Quark models could in principle give a very different answer (see e.g. A. Courtoy and S. Scopetta, PRD 2009)

...on to physically motivated parametrization of data

 $A_{\Lambda'\lambda',\Lambda\lambda}$ in Diquark Model

$$A_{++,++} = \int d^{2}k_{\perp}\phi_{++}^{*}(k',P')\phi_{++}(k,P)$$

$$A_{+-,++} = \int d^{2}k_{\perp}\phi_{-+}^{*}(k',P')\phi_{++}(k,P)$$

$$A_{-+,++} = \int d^{2}k_{\perp}\phi_{-+}^{*}(k',P')\phi_{-+}(k,P).$$

$$S=0,1$$

$$\phi_{\Lambda,\lambda}(k,P) = \Gamma(k) rac{ar{u}(k,\lambda)U(P,\Lambda)}{k^2 - m^2}$$

$$\phi^*_{\Lambda'\lambda'}(k',P') = \Gamma(k') rac{\overline{U}(P',\Lambda')u(k',\lambda')}{k'^2 - m^2},$$

$$H = \mathcal{N} \frac{1 - \zeta/2}{1 - X} \int d^2 k_{\perp} \frac{\left[(m + MX) \left(m + M \frac{X - \zeta}{1 - \zeta} \right) + \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \right]}{(k^2 - M_{\Lambda}^2)^2 (k'^2 - M_{\Lambda}^2)^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{4(1 - \zeta)} E,$$

$$E ~=~ \mathcal{N} rac{1-\zeta/2}{1-X} \int d^2k_\perp rac{-2M(1-\zeta)\left[(m+MX)rac{ ilde{k}\cdot\Delta}{\Delta_\perp^2} - \left(m+Mrac{X-\zeta}{1-\zeta}
ight)rac{k_\perp\cdot\Delta}{\Delta_\perp^2}
ight]}{(k^2-M_\Lambda^2)^2(k'^2-M_\Lambda^2)^2}$$

$$\widetilde{H} \;=\; \mathcal{N} \frac{1-\zeta/2}{1-X} \int d^2 k_\perp \frac{\left[(m+MX) \left(m+M \frac{X-\zeta}{1-\zeta} \right) - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_\perp \right]}{(k^2-M_\Lambda^2)^2 (k'^2-M_\Lambda^2)^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{4(1-\zeta)} \widetilde{E}$$

$$\widetilde{E} = \mathcal{N} \frac{1-\zeta/2}{1-X} \int d^2 k_{\perp} \frac{-\frac{4M(1-\zeta)}{\zeta} \left[(m+MX) \frac{\widetilde{k} \cdot \Delta}{\Delta_{\perp}^2} + \left(m+M \frac{X-\zeta}{1-\zeta} \right) \frac{k_{\perp} \cdot \Delta}{\Delta_{\perp}^2} \right]}{(k^2 - M_{\Lambda}^2)^2 (k'^2 - M_{\Lambda}^2)^2}$$



Crossing Symmetries



Parametric Form

 $F(X,\zeta,t) = \mathcal{N}G^{M_{\Lambda}}_{M_{X},m}(X,\zeta,t) R^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{p}(X,\zeta,t)$

We asked the question: "What is the minimal number of parameters necessary to fit X and t?" Can be addressed with Recursive Fit

Parameters	Н	E	\widetilde{H}	\widetilde{E}
m_u (GeV)	0.420	0.420	2.624	2.624
M^u_X (GeV)	0.604	0.604	0.474	0.474
$M^u_\Lambda~({ m GeV})$	1.018	1.018	0.971	0.971
$lpha_u$	0.210	0.210	0.219	0.219
$lpha_u'$	2.448 ± 0.0885	2.811 ± 0.765	1.543 ± 0.296	5.130 ± 0.101
p_u	0.620 ± 0.0725	0.863 ± 0.482	0.346 ± 0.248	3.507 ± 0.054
\mathcal{N}_{u}	2.043	1.803	0.0504	1.074
χ^2	0.773	0.664	0.116	1.98
$m_d~({ m GeV})$	0.275	0.275	2.603	2.603
M^d_X (GeV)	0.913	0.913	0.704	0.704
M^d_{Λ} (GeV)	0.860	0.860	0.878	0.878
$lpha_d$	0.0317	0.0317	0.0348	0.0348
$lpha_d'$	2.209 ± 0.156	1.362 ± 0.585	1.298 ± 0.245	3.385 ± 0.145
p_d	0.658 ± 0.257	1.115 ± 1.150	0.974 ± 0.358	2.326 ± 0.137
\mathcal{N}_{d}	1.570	-2.800	-0.0262	-0.966
χ^2	0.822	0.688	0.110	1.00 ⁵ /13

Compton Form factors vs. ζ



 $Q^2=2 GeV^2$

Polynomiality!

Goldstein et al. arXiv:1012.3776



Comparison with lattice



Implementing DVCS data...

$$R = X^{-[\alpha + \alpha'(X)t + \beta(\zeta)t]},$$

extra term



5/13/12



Hall A



Having fitted Jlab data, we predict Hermes

5/13/12



New flavor separated data on form factors (G.Cates et al., PRL 2011)



Interpretation?

We believe it is not a simple model (pointlike diquark excluded), but closer to hypothesis of u-quark keeping at larger distances from remnants, than d-quark (Z.E. Meziani)

What determines the interquark distances? Wigner distribution studies

Osvaldo Gonzalez Hernandez, S.L.



The partonic configurations radii are determined by an interplay of the Regge and diquark terms



5/14/12

Pseudoscalar Mesons Electroproduction

π^{o} and η production probing the GPD chiral-odd sector Goldstein et al., arXiv:hep-ph/1201.6088

Issue in a nutshell:

"Collinear factorization approach" for chiral-even process

$$g_{0,+;0,+} \approx \frac{1}{Q} \int d\tau \frac{\phi_{\pi}(\tau)}{\tau} C^{+} \Rightarrow \frac{d\sigma_{L}^{even}}{dt} \propto \frac{1}{Q^{6}}$$
$$g_{1,+;0,+} \approx \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \int d\tau \frac{\phi_{\pi}(\tau)}{\tau} C^{+} \Rightarrow \frac{d\sigma_{T}^{even}}{dt} \propto \frac{1}{Q^{8}}.$$

"Collinear factorization approach" for chiral-odd process

$$g_{0+,0-} pprox rac{d\sigma_L^{odd}}{dt} \propto rac{1}{Q^{10}}, \quad g_{1+,0-} pprox rac{d\sigma_T^{odd}}{dt} \propto rac{1}{Q^8}.$$

Transverse component seems to be larger than naively expected

$$f_{\Lambda_{\gamma},\Lambda;0,\Lambda'}(\xi,t) = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \int dx d^2 k_{\perp} g_{\Lambda_{\gamma},\lambda;0,\lambda'}(x,k_{\perp},\xi,t) A_{\Lambda',\lambda';\Lambda,\lambda}(x,k_{\perp},\xi,t)$$

$$q, \Lambda_{\gamma}$$

$$p, \lambda$$

$$P = K f_{\pi} \{\gamma_5 \not \phi_{\pi}(\tau) + \gamma_5 \mu_{\pi} \phi_{\pi}^{(3)}(\tau)\}$$

$$p' = p - \Delta \cdot \lambda' \qquad \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \qquad \gamma_5$$

$$P' = P - \Delta, \Lambda'$$

$$g_{T} = g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q) \left[\frac{1}{x - \xi + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\epsilon} \right] = g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q) C^{+}$$

$$g_{L} = g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q) \sqrt{\frac{t_{o} - t}{Q^{2}}} \left[\frac{1}{x - \xi + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x + \xi - i\epsilon} \right] = g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q) \sqrt{\frac{t_{o} - t}{Q^{2}}} C^{+},$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_1 = f_{1+,0+} = g_{1+,0-} \otimes A_{+-,++} \\ f_2 = f_{1+,0-} = g_{1+,0-} \otimes A_{--,++} \\ f_3 = f_{1-,0+} = g_{1+,0-} \otimes A_{+-,-+} \\ f_4 = f_{1-,0-} = g_{1+,0-} \otimes A_{--,-+}, \end{array} \overset{35}{} \begin{array}{l} {} L \end{array} \begin{array}{l} f_5 = f_{0+,0-} = g_{0+,0-} \otimes A_{--,++} \\ f_6 = f_{0+,0+} = g_{0+,0-} \otimes A_{+-,++}, \\ f_6 = f_{0+,0+} = g_{0+,0-} \otimes A_{+-,++}, \end{array} \end{array}$$

Cross Section

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^4\sigma}{d\Omega d\epsilon_2 d\phi dt} &= \Gamma \left\{ \frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} + \epsilon_L \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} + \epsilon \cos 2\phi \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} + \sqrt{2\epsilon_L(\epsilon+1)} \cos \phi \frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \right. \\ &+ h \sqrt{2\epsilon_L(\epsilon-1)} \frac{d\sigma_{L'T}}{dt} \sin \phi \right\}, \\ \\ &\left. \frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} = \mathcal{N} \left(|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2 + |f_3|^2 + |f_4|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\left. \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} = \mathcal{N} \left(|f_5|^2 + |f_6|^2 \right), \\ \left. \frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} = 2\mathcal{N} \Re e \left(f_1^* f_4 - f_2^* f_3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

~

$$egin{array}{rl} rac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} &= 2\,\mathcal{N}\, \Re e\left[f_5^*(f_2+f_3)+f_6^*(f_1-f_4)
ight]. \ rac{d\sigma_{LT'}}{dt} &= 2\,\mathcal{N}\, \Im m\left[f_5^*(\mathscr{F}_2+f_3)+f_6^*(f_1-f_4)
ight]. \end{array}$$

5/13/12

 ϕ

 $_4ec{S}_\perp$

 ϕ_{S}

www

 $\vec{k'}$

 $ec{k}$

In terms of GPDs

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_T^{\mu} T_{\mu}^{\Lambda\Lambda'} &= e_q \int_{-1}^1 dx \, \frac{g_T}{2\overline{P}^+} \, \overline{U}(P',\Lambda') \left[i\sigma^{+i} H_T^q(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^+ \Delta^i - \Delta^+ \gamma^i}{2M} E_T^q(x,\xi,t) \right. \\ \left. \frac{\overline{P}^+ \Delta^i - \Delta^+ \overline{P}^i}{M^2} \widetilde{H}_T^q(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^+ \overline{P}^i - \overline{P}^+ \gamma^i}{2M} \widetilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t) \right] U(P,\Lambda), \end{split}$$

M. Diehl, 2001

 $\mathcal{H}_{_{T}}, \mathcal{E}_{_{T}}, ilde{\mathcal{E}}_{_{T}}, ilde{\mathcal{E}}_{_{T}}$

$$\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} \approx \mathcal{N}^2 [g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q)]^2 \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^4} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_T \right]^2 + \tau \left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T \right]^2 + \left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T \right]^2 \right] \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_L}{dt} \approx \mathcal{N}^2 [g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q)]^2 \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^4} \frac{2M^2\tau}{Q^2} \mathcal{H}_T \right]^2 \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{TT}}{dt} \approx \mathcal{N}^2 [g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q)]^2 \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^4} \tau \left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T \right]^2 - \left[\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T \right]^2 + \mathcal{R}e\mathcal{H}_T \frac{\mathcal{R}e(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T - \mathcal{E}_T)}{2} + \mathcal{R}m\mathcal{H}_T \frac{\mathcal{R}m(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_T - \mathcal{E}_T)}{2} \right] \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \approx \mathcal{N}^2 [g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q)]^2 \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^4} 2\sqrt{\frac{2M^2\tau}{Q^2}} \mathcal{H}_T \right] \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{LT}}{dt} \approx \mathcal{N}^2 [g_{\pi}^{odd}(Q)]^2 \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^4} \sqrt{\frac{2M^2\tau}{Q^2}} \mathcal{H}_T \right] \qquad (1)$$

 $\tau = (t_0 - t)/2M^2$

The question is: how do we normalize the GPDs?

Only Physical constraints on the various chiral-odd GPDs are Forward limit

$$H_{_T}(x,0,0) = q_{\Uparrow}^{\uparrow}(x) - q_{\Uparrow}^{\downarrow}(x) = h_{_1}(x)$$

Form Factors

$$\int H_T(x,\xi,t) dx = \delta_T(t)$$

$$\int \overline{E}_T(x,\xi,t) dx = \int \left(2\tilde{H}_T + E_T\right) dx = \kappa_T(t)$$

$$\int \tilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) dx = 0$$

No direct interpretation of E_T

In the diquark model the GPDs are related through Parity

In order to explain the working of Parity transformations, we write the LHS and RHS of Fig. 1

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline RHS & LHS \\ \hline S = 0 & \phi^*_{\Lambda'\lambda'} & \phi_{\Lambda\lambda} \\ \hline S = 1 & \phi^{\mu}_{\Lambda'\lambda'}\epsilon^{*\,\lambda''}_{\mu} & \epsilon^{\lambda''}_{\nu}\phi^{\nu}_{\Lambda\lambda} \end{array}$$

S=0,1

In terms of GPDs





5/14/12







5/13/12





 $\sum_{\Lambda} \Im m F_{\Lambda+,\Lambda-} \propto h_1^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ $A_{\scriptscriptstyle ++,+-} - A_{\scriptscriptstyle +-,++} \propto 2\tilde{H}_{_T} + E_{_T}$ $\sum_{\lambda} \Im m F_{+\lambda,-\lambda} \propto f_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ $A_{\scriptscriptstyle ++,-+}-A_{\scriptscriptstyle -+,++} \propto E$ $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\zeta = 0.2$ -t = 0.11 2 1.75 1.5 $(\mathbf{h}_1^{\mathsf{T}}) 2\tilde{H}_T + E_T$ 1.25 1 0.75 0.5

 \tilde{E}_{T}

0.25 0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 -0 -0.2 -0.4

-0.6 -0.8

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

х

0.7

0.6

0.8

U

d

0.9

How well do the parameters fixed with DVCS data reproduce π° electroproduction data?



Hall B data, Kubarovsky& Stoler, PoS ICHEP 2010



Vary tensor charge as a parameter to see sensitivity of data





 Q^2 dependence \Rightarrow obviously not predicted by collinear factorization \checkmark Presence of a large transverse component

"Anomalous" Pion Vertex behavior

Back up

Explain large T component



CL orViv:bop ph 1201	6000				
	Chiral Odd GPD	J^{-C}		J^{+C}	
	$H_T(x,\xi,t) - H_T(-x,\xi,t)$	$2^{-+}, 4^{-+}, \dots$ (2	S = 0)	$1^{++}, 3^{++} \dots$ (S = 1)
	$E_T(x,\xi,t) - E_T(-x,\xi,t)$	$2^{-+}, 4^{-+}, \dots$ (2	S = 0)	$1^{++}, 3^{++} \dots$ (S = 1)
	$\widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) - \widetilde{H}_T(-x,\xi,t)$			$1^{++}, 3^{++}, \dots$ (S = 1)
	$\widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) - \widetilde{E}_T(-x,\xi,t)$	$ 2^{-+}, 4^{-+}, \dots$ (2)	S = 0)	$3^{++}, 5^{++} \dots$ (S = 1)
	$H_T(x,\xi,t) + H_T(-x,\xi,t)$	1, 2, 3	(S = 1)	$1^{+-}, 3^{+-} \dots$	(S=0)
	$E_T(x,\xi,t) + E_T(-x,\xi,t)$	1, 2, 3	(S = 1)	$1^{+-}, 3^{+-} \dots$	(S=0)
	$\widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \widetilde{H}_T(-x,\xi,t)$	1, 2, 3	(S = 1)		
	$\widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) + \widetilde{E}_T(-x,\xi,t)$	$2^{}, 3^{}, 4^{}\dots$	(S = 1)	3 ⁺⁻ , 5 ^{+-5/13/1}	(S=0)

All these combinations are possible, therefore...



Now that we have allowed for a large T component, explain the Q^2 dependence....





Spin plays a role

LIUTAhmad et al., PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 054014 (2009



$$V=1^{--}, 2^{--}, 3^{--}, \dots$$
 $A=1^{+-}_{58}, 3^{+-}, \dots$ 5/13/12

Size of qqbar pair

We obtain a mixture of configurations of different "radii" (and different Q2 dependence)

> ✓ V → π° → No change of OAM, ΔL=0 ✓ A → π° → One unit change of OAM, ΔL=1

Axial vector transition involves Bessel J_1

 $\psi^{(1)}_A(y_1,b) = \int d^2k_T J_1(y_1b)\psi(y_1,k_T), \;\;$ qqbar pair are more separated!

Summary of Q² dependence

✓ Twist 3 DA has a steeper dependence in the longitudinal variable "x" yields larger contribution

 \checkmark This can compensate for the fall off in Q²

✓ Spin plays a role

(A connection is possible with A. Radyushkin's et al. interpretation of Babar data more channels including rho production need to be explored)

Role of BSA components: H and H-tilde



Goldstein et al. arXiv:1012.3776

Preliminary Results (PDFs)

(D. Perry, DIS 2010 and MS Thesis 2010, K. Holcomb, Exclusive Processes Workshop, Jlab 2010) $Q^2 = 7.5 \text{ GeV}^2$

